

DEQ/EPA Source Control Meeting

Date/Location: Tuesday, June 19, 2007, 10:00 AM – 3:00 PM, DEQ NWR Office

Attendees:

DEQ – Jim Anderson, Keith Johnson, Matt McClincy

EPA – Deb Yamamoto, Kristine Koch, Eric Blischke, Chip Humphrey, Sean Sheldrake

Purpose of Meeting:

- 1) Ensure shared vision/goals for Source Control
- 2) Identify areas/mechanisms for improving relationship/coordination
- 3) Discuss source control schedule implications and integration with RI/FS schedule

Desired Outcomes of Meeting

- 1) Communicate clear understanding of DEQ's position/strategy/schedule on Source Control
- 2) Communicate clear understanding of EPA's expectations for Source Control
- 3) Communicate DEQ's goals/schedule/status for completing Source Control Evaluation of all High Priority sites by 1/1/08
- 4) Gain clarity on JSCS Screening Level Values vs RAOs/clean-up values
- 5) Set up next steps that will get EPA and DEQ to a shared strategy and timeline for source control at high priority sites

Agenda Items:

- 10:00-10:15 Introductions/Opening Statements – Deb, Jim, Keith (what are the problems?)
- 10:15-11:00 DEQ/EPA Relationship
- DEQ presents its position/strategy/schedule for Source Control
 - EPA presents their expectations for Source Control
 - Discuss shared expectations for Source Control Process and Standards
 - Discuss relationship between Source Control, in-water Early Actions & RI/FS Process. Paradigm shift needed?
 - Discuss what is working, what is not & where can improvements be made
- 11:00-12:00 Source Control Schedule
- Discuss source control goals (basis of discussion is 12/06 milestone report)– Reason for change in schedule (source control actions (SCA) achieved by 2010) Is this correct and achievable? What mechanism will DEQ use to ensure this will happen?
 - Upland source control activities – What is working and what is not?

- Prioritization of source control activities – What are areas to focus on? Are DEQ resources expended in the right places? What are competing priorities?
 - DEQ resources – How is DEQ allocating its resources between EA, RI/FS and source control? Is this the correct allocation? Are there ways to leverage DEQ resources?
 - Decision making process – What are implications of source control schedule on implementation of ROD? What are implications for completed, in-process, and future source control efforts in ROD?
- 12:00-12:30 Lunch
- 12:30-12:45 Expanding source control to Downtown corridor (RM 11-16)
- Work with BES to characterize SW/CSO discharges
 - Include upland site tracking in Milestone report
- 12:45-1:15 Upland data gaps for RI/FS
- Riparian Areas – Bank erosion
 - Seeps
- 1:15-1:45 Joint in-water/upland coordination meeting(s) – Eric
- EPA is proposing that staff meet regularly to ensure that there are no data gaps for conceptual site model
- 1:45-2:15 Role of DEQ and EPA Water Program in PH
- Have there been any discussions or planning for integrating source control activities with DEQ and EPA's Water Program?
 - Need a framework for ROD and associated coordination efforts by January 2009 if there are expectations to use Water Program activities to control sources (this timing will be compatible with ROD)
- 2:15-2:30 104(e) letters
- Scope, approach and schedule
- 2:30-2:40 Database update
- Deliverable July 20, 2007
 - Uses of database (tracking all PRP activities, PRP search information)
- 2:40-3:00 Wrap-up, Action Items and Next Steps

Attachment 1

Source Control Goals (12/06 Milestone Rpt)

As of December 2006, the DEQ categorized 72 sites (see Table 1) into the following source control categories:

High Priority Sites- 8
Preliminary High Priority Sites- 9
Medium Priority Sites- 7
Low Priority Sites- 5
Priority To Be Determined Sites- 27
Sites with Source Control Decisions- 16

The status of High Priority and Preliminary High Priority sites is presented in Table 2. Ten of the 17 High Priority sites currently have SCMs in place.

New to this December 2006 Milestone Report, DEQ developed five specific goals for our source control efforts. These goals will help DEQ focus our source control efforts to achieve the overarching goal of source control: to identify, evaluate and control sources of contamination that may affect the Willamette River in a manner that is consistent with the objectives and schedule for the Portland Harbor RI/FS.

Goals and Status for High Priority Sites

Goal 1- Source Control Evaluation (SCE) completed at all High Priority sites by 1/1/08.

Goal 1 Status as of 12/06

- 5 of 17 SCEs completed
- 9 of 17 SCEs to be completed in 2007
- 2 of 17 SCEs to be completed in 2008 (Rhone Poulenc & City Outfall RI/FS)
- 1 of 17 sites is EPA lead (Gould)

Goal 2- SCM selected at all High Priority sites by 7/1/08.

Goal 2 Status as of 12/06

- SCMs have been selected and have been implemented at 4 of 17 sites and interim SCMs are in-place at an additional six other High Priority sites

Goal 3- SCM constructed and effectively operating at all High Priority sites by 1/1/10.

Goal 3 Status as of 12/06

- 2 of 17 sites have effective SCMs operating (Time Oil and Terminal 4- Slip 3)

Goals and Status for Medium and Low Priority Sites

Goal 4- SCE completed at all Medium and Low Priority sites by 1/1/09

Goal 4 Status as of 12/06

- None of 12 sites have completed SCEs, but all are on schedule to be completed by the end of 2008

Goals and Status for Priority “To Be Determined (TBD)” Sites

Goal 5- Completed prioritization at all TBD sites by 1/1/08.

Goal 5 Status as of 12/06

- None of the 27 sites have been prioritized for all pathways, but 18 of the 27 are scheduled to be completed in 2007.
- 2 of the 27 sites are EPA lead sites.